

| | | | | Pre | evious Ye | ars | | 2 | 2015/2016 | • | | | |
|-------------------------|-----------|---|-------------------------|---------|---------------------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------|---------------|---------|
| | | | Collection Frequency | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 | Quarter 1 | Quarter 2 | Quarter 3 | Quarter 4 | Target | Polarity | DoT |
| | | % of care leavers in employment, education or training ADD 19, 20 & 21 - (YTD) | Quarterly | 64% | 57.50% | 70.50% | 85.00% | 82.60% | 79.70% | 70.50% | - | Up is Good | Neutral |
| | 148 | Benchmark - National Data | Quarterly | - | 45% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Chile | <u> </u> | Benchmark - Regional Data | Quarterly | - | 47% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| dren' | | Benchmark - Comparator Data | Quarterly | - | 46% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Children's Social Care | <u>64</u> | % of children ceasing to be the subject of a Child Protection Plan who had been the subject of a CPP continuously for two years or longer - (YTD) | Quarterly | 5.70% | 9.50% | 2.80% | 3.90% | 2.40% | 3.80% | 2.80% | - | Up is Bad | Good |
| Care | | Benchmark - Comparator Data | Quarterly | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | <u>65</u> | % of children becoming the subject of a Child Protection Plan for a second or subsequent time - (YTD) | Quarterly | 10.90% | 12.20% | 27.30% | 25.00% | 30.70% | 26.20% | 27.30% | - | Up is Bad | Bad |
| | _ | Benchmark - Comparator Data | Quarterly | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Children's Social Care | 101 | % of Looked After Children achieving 5+ A*-Cs GCSE including English & Maths at Key Stage 4 (Best Entry) - (Snapshot) | Discontinu ed | 24% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | Good |
| 's Soc | | Benchmark - National Data | Discontinu ed | 12.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| ial Ca | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Discontinu ed | 10.50% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| 1 | | % of Looked After Children achieving Level 4+ in Reading, Writing and Maths at Key Stage 2 - (Snapshot) | Annual | 33% | (Avail Apr 2016) | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | Bad |
| ildre | <u>99</u> | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 48.00% | 52% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| n Lo | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 45.00% | 51% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Children Looked After | EFL1 | Children Looked After per 10k (Snapshot) | Quarterly | 61 | 55 | 53 | 55 | 56 | 52 | 53 | - | Up is Bad | Good |
| | | % of children in poverty (under 16s) | Annual | 11.2% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | Neutral |
| vatio | | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 18.6% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| n and | PHOF13 | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 20.6% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Deprivation and Poverty | | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |

| | | | | Pre | evious Ye | ars | | : | 2015/2016 | | | | |
|--------------------------|-------------|--|-------------------------|--------------|--------------|--------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------|---------------|---------|
| | | | Collection Frequency | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 | Quarter 1 | Quarter 2 | Quarter 3 | Quarter 4 | Target | Polarity | DoT |
| | | % of working age population qualified - No qualifications | Annual | 6.90% | 4.80% | 4.60% | - | - | - | 4.60% | - | Up is Bad | Good |
| | CJGE17 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 9.40% | 8.80% | 8.60% | - | - | - | 8.60% | - | | |
| | CJGL17 | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 10.60% | 9.80% | 9.80% | - | - | - | 9.80% | - | | |
| | | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 1 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - | 2 | - | | |
| | | % of working age population qualified - to at least L2 and above* | Annual | 80.30% | 82.60% | 81.10% | - | - | - | 81.10% | - | Up is Good | Bad |
| | CJGE18 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 72.40% | 73.30% | 73.60% | - | - | - | 73.60% | - | | |
| | 000210 | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 69.30% | 70.00% | 70.10% | - | - | - | 70.10% | - | | |
| Educ | | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | | |
| Education (Adult) | | % of working age population qualified - to at least L3 and above* | Annual | 65.80% | 68.40% | 68.00% | - | - | - | 68.00% | - | Up is Good | Neutral |
| Adult | CJGE19 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 55.70% | 56.70% | 57.40% | - | - | - | 57.40% | - | | |
| | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 51.50% | 52.10% | 53.50% | - | - | - | 53.50% | - | | |
| | | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | | |
| | | % of working age population qualified - to at least L4 and above* | Annual | 40.20% | 40.30% | 40.60% | - | - | - | 40.60% | - | Up is Good | Neutral |
| | CJGE20 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 35.10% | 36.00% | 37.10% | - | - | - | 37.10% | - | | |
| | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 29.80% | 29.70% | 30.50% | - | - | - | 30.50% | - | | |
| | | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 1 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | | |
| | Ques001 | Total participation in education (inc PT) and work based learning | Discontinu ed | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | Neutral |
| Educ | | Attainment of a Level 3 qualification by age 19 - FSM group | Annual | 23.00% | 30.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | Neutral |
| ation | <u>83</u> | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 35.00% | 36.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| (You | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 29.00% | 29.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Education (Young People) | <u>ES10</u> | Free childcare places for 2 year olds | Discontinu ed | 279 | - | - | | - | - | - | - | Neutral | Neutral |
| ople) | ES9 | % Take up of early education places by eligible two year olds - (Snapshot) | Annual | 239 (78%) | 350 (70%) | 392 (72%) | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | Neutral |

| | | | | Pre | evious Ye | ars | | : | 2015/2016 | | | | |
|------------------------|-------------|--|-------------------------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------|---------------|---------|
| | | | Collection Frequency | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 | Quarter 1 | Quarter 2 | Quarter 3 | Quarter 4 | Target | Polarity | DoT |
| | | %pt gap in achievement of Level 4+ Reading, Writing & Maths at Key Stage 2 between disadvantaged pupils (eligible for FSM in the last 6 years, looked after and adopted from care) and their peers - (Snapshot) | Annual | 20% | 19% | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | Good |
| | <u>102a</u> | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 16.00% | 15.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 18.00% | 18.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 11 | 11 | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | 102b | %pt gap in achievement of 5+A*-Cs GCSE (or equivalent) including English & Maths at Key Stage 4 between between disadvantaged pupils (eligible for FSM in the last 6 years, looked after and adopted from care) and their peers - (Snapshot) | Annual | 39% | 34% | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | Bad |
| | 1020 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 26.70% | 28.30% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| m | - | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 30.60% | 31.30% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| duca | | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 15 | 13 | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Educational Attainment | <u>73a</u> | % of pupils achieving Level 4+ in Reading, Writing and Maths at Key Stage 2 - (Snapshot) | Annual | 79% | 81% | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | Good |
| Attaii | | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 79.00% | 80.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| nmer | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 76.00% | 78.00% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| = | | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | | % of pupils achieving 5+ A*-Cs GCSE inc. English & Maths at Key Stage 4 (new First Entry definition) - (Snapshot) | Annual | 62.30% | 63.70% | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | Neutral |
| | <u>75a</u> | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 53.40% | 57.30% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 53.90% | 55.10% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | | % of young people who achieve a Level 2 qualification by the age of 19 - (Snapshot) | Annual | 86.00% | 87.20% | 88.90% | - | - | - | 88.90% | - | Up is Good | Good |
| | <u>79</u> | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 84.50% | 85.40% | 85.90% | - | - | - | 85.90% | - | | |
| | <u>. u</u> | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 83.20% | 84.10% | 84.80% | - | - | - | 84.80% | - | | |
| | | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 3 | 3 | 3 | - | - | - | 3 | - | | |

| | | | Pro | evious Ye | ars | | ; | 2015/2016 | ' | | | |
|-----------|--|-------------------------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------|---------------|--------|
| | | Collection Frequency | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 | Quarter 1 | Quarter 2 | Quarter 3 | Quarter 4 | Target | Polarity | DoT |
| | % of young people who achieve a Level 3 qualification by the age of 19 - (Snapshot) | Annual | 61.9% | 63.40% | 66.40% | - | - | - | 66.40% | - | Up is Good | Good |
| <u>80</u> | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 57.40% | 58.20% | 58.70% | - | - | - | 58.70% | - | | |
| <u> </u> | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 53.30% | 53.70% | 54.90% | - | - | - | 54.90% | - | | |
| | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 2 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | - | | |
| | % gap between young people who were in receipt of FSM at 15 who attain a Level 2 qualification by the age of 19 and their peers - (Snapshot) | Annual | 23% | 17% | 19% | - | - | - | 19% | - | Up is Bad | Good |
| <u>82</u> | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 16% | 17% | 17% | - | - | - | 17% | - | | |
| | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 20% | 21% | 20% | - | - | - | 20% | - | | |
| | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 11 | 2 | 9 | - | - | - | 9 | - | | |
| | KS2 attainment in English for Asian pupils | Annual | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | Neutra |
| Attain12 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | KS2 attainment in English for Mixed race pupils | Annual | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | Neutra |
| Attain120 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | KS2 attainment in English for Black pupils | Annual | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | Neutra |
| Attain13 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | KS2 attainment in English for Chinese pupils | Annual | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | Neutra |
| Attain130 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |

| | | | | Pre | evious Ye | ars | | | 2015/2016 | | | | |
|----------------------------------|----------------|---|-------------------------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------|---------------|---------|
| | | | Collection Frequency | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 | Quarter 1 | Quarter 2 | Quarter 3 | Quarter 4 | Target | Polarity | DoT |
| | | KS2 attainment in Maths for White pupils | Annual | 87% | 86% | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | Good |
| Δ | ttain14 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 86% | 87% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | ttaiii + | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 85% | 86% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | | KS2 attainment in Maths for Mixed race pupils | Annual | 88% | 91% | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | Good |
| At | tain140 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 86% | 87% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 83% | 86% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| m | Attain15 | KS2 attainment in Maths for Asian pupils | Annual | 90% | N/A | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | Good |
| duca | | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 87% | 89% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| tiona | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 84% | 85% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| l Atte | | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 3 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Al Educational Attainment | | KS2 attainment in Maths for Black pupils | Annual | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | Neutral |
| | <u>tain150</u> | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 84% | 85% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 81% | 81% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | | KS2 attainment in Maths for Chinese pupils | Annual | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | Neutral |
| <u>A</u> | ttain16 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 95% | 96% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 98% | 96% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | | KS2 attainment in E&M for White pupils | Annual | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | Neutral |
| At | tain160 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |

| | | | Pre | evious Ye | ars | | ; | 2015/2016 | | | | |
|-----------|--|-------------------------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------|---------------|--------|
| | | Collection Frequency | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 | Quarter 1 | Quarter 2 | Quarter 3 | Quarter 4 | Target | Polarity | DoT |
| | KS2 attainment in E&M for Mixed race pupils | Annual | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | Neutra |
| Attain17 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| 7.001111 | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | <u>-</u> | - | | |
| | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | KS2 attainment in E&M for Asian pupils | Annual | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | Neutra |
| Attain170 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| <u>/</u> | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | KS2 attainment in E&M for Black pupils | Annual | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | Neutra |
| Attain18 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | KS2 attainment in E&M for Chinese pupils | Annual | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | Neutra |
| Attain180 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | <u>-</u> | - | | |
| | KS4 attainment 5A*-C inc E&M for White pupils | Annual | 62.20% | 63.50% | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | Neutra |
| Attain19 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 56.30% | 57% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 54.90% | 56.20% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | KS4 attainment 5A*-C inc E&M for Mixed race pupils | Annual | 69.00% | 61.50% | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | Bad |
| Attain190 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 57.90% | 58.30% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 50.90% | 52.80% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 2 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |

| | | | Pre | evious Ye | ars | | : | 2015/2016 | | | | |
|------------|---|-------------------------|---------|-----------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------|---------------|---------|
| | | Collection Frequency | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 | Quarter 1 | Quarter 2 | Quarter 3 | Quarter 4 | Target | Polarity | DoT |
| | KS4 attainment 5A*-C inc E&M for Asian pupils | Annual | 47.80% | 68.20% | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | Neutral |
| Attain2 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 61.50% | 61.90% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| 7 tttainz | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 47.20% | 49.90% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 9 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | KS4 attainment 5A*-C inc E&M for Black pupils | Annual | 70.00% | 70% | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | Neutral |
| Attain20 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 53.70% | 52.60% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 45.50% | 47.80% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | KS2 attainment in English for White pupils | Annual | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | Neutral |
| П Attain20 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| catio | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | N/A | N/A | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| nal / | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Attain2 | KS4 attainment 5A*- C inc E&M for Chinese pupils | Annual | - | N/A | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | Neutral |
| Attain2 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 76.30% | 78.30% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 70.80% | 71.20% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| CYPL3 | % of disadvantaged pupils (eligible for FSM in the last 6 years, looked after children and fostered from care) achieving Level 4+ in Reading, Writing and Maths at Key Stage 2 - (Snapshot) | Annual | 63% | 66% | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | Good |
| | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 68% | 70% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 64% | 66% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | % of pupils eligible for FSM in the last 6 years achieving 5+ A*-Cs GCSE (or equivalent) including English & Maths at Key Stage 4 (new Best Entry definition)- (Snapshot) | Discontinu ed | 27% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | Neutral |
| CYPL | Benchmark - National Data | Discontinu ed | 38.10% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | Benchmark - Regional Data | Discontinu ed | 33.60% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |

| | | | | Pre | evious Ye | ars | | 2 | 2015/2016 | | | | |
|------------------------|---------------|--|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------|---------------|---------|
| | | | Collection Frequency | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 | Quarter 1 | Quarter 2 | Quarter 3 | Quarter 4 | Target | Polarity | DoT |
| П | | % of SEN pupils with a statement achieving 5+A*-C inc English & Maths GCSE | Annual | 10.40% | 11.10% | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | Good |
| | EH200 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 8.00% | 8.80% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | <u> </u> | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 7.20% | 8.30% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Educat | | % of SEN (non-statemented) pupils achieving Level 4+ in Reading, Writing and Maths at Key Stage 2 - (Snapshot) | Annual | 30% | 29% | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | Good |
| tiona | <u>EH5</u> | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 42.00% | 43% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Atta | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 36.00% | 38% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Educational Attainment | | % of SEN pupils without a statement achieving 5+ A*-C inc English & Maths GCSE (new Best Entry definition) - (Snapshot) | Discontinu ed | 25% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | Neutral |
| | <u>EH6</u> | Benchmark - National Data | Discontinu ed | 26.60% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Discontinu ed | 23.50% | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Discontinu ed | 9 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Emplo | PHOF41 | Gap in employment rate for those with learning disabilities and the overall employment rate | Annual | 65.5 | 60.4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | Good |
| Employment | <u>FHOF41</u> | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 9 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Homelessness | HOU106 | Number of 16-17 year olds accepted as homeless - (YTD) | Quarterly | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | Up is Bad | Neutral |
| Libra | LIB01 | Library Visits - All Libraries | Monthly | 1,043,285 | 799,083 | 997,606 | 247,837 | 274,380 | 228,483 | 246,906 | - | Up is Good | Neutral |
| Libraries | LIB02 | Books Borrowed - All Libraries | Monthly | - | 778,615 | 819,179 | 199,832 | 221,471 | 193,595 | 204,281 | - | Up is Good | Good |
| Mental Health | PHOF39 | Average strengths and difficulties score of the emotional and behavioural health of children looked after continuously for 12 months at 31 March | Annual | 14.4 | 13.1 | 13 | 16.9 | 20.8 | 21.3 | 13 | - | Up is Good | Bad |
| lealth | | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| NEET | 117 | % of Year 12-14 young people who are not in education, employment or training (NEET) - (Snapshot) | Monthly | 4.50% | 4.70% | 5.20% | 4.60% | 5.10% | 4.80% | 5.20% | - | Up is Bad | Bad |

| | I | | | Pre | evious Ye | ars | | 2 | 2015/2016 | | | | |
|-----------------------------|--------------|---|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|---------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------|---------------|---------|
| | | | Collection Frequency | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 | Quarter 1 | Quarter 2 | Quarter 3 | Quarter 4 | Target | Polarity | DoT |
| | <u>117a</u> | Number of Year 12-14 young people who are not in education, employment or training (NEET) - (Snapshot) | Monthly | 262 | 257 | 283 | 253 | 207 | 262 | 283 | - | Up is Bad | Neutral |
| NEET | SE3 | % of Year 12-14 young people who are not in education, employment or training (NEET) who are LDD (self-defined LDD, school action, school action + or statement) | Monthly | 25.47% | 29.60% | 43.40% | 29.60% | 45.90% | 43.00% | 43.40% | - | Up is Bad | Bad |
| _ | SE3a | Number of Year 12-14 young people who are not in education, employment or training (NEET) who are LDD (self-defined LDD, school action, school action + or statement) | Monthly | 89 | 76 | 122 | 75 | 95 | 116 | 122 | - | Up is Bad | Bad |
| | | % of physically active and inactive adults - active adults | Annual | 66.16% | 62.18% | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Good | Neutral |
| | PHOF01 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 56.03% | 57.04% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Phy | | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 55.28% | 56.08% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| /sica | | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 1 | 2 | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Physical Activity | | % of active and inactive adults - inactive adults | Annual | 21.09% | 21.57% | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | Neutral |
| ₹ | PHOF02 | Benchmark - National Data | Annual | 28.34% | 27.73% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | PHOF02 | Benchmark - Regional Data | Annual | 28.73% | 29.21% | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| Safeg | CYPL15a | % of pupils who reported frequent verbal bullying incidents in Primary schools. (as recorded via the 'Someone to Turn to ' pupil survey) - (Snapshot) | Discontinu ed | 3.30% | NC | NC | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | Good |
| Safeguarding (Young People) | CYPL15b | % of pupils who reported frequent verbal bullying incidents in Secondary schools Yr 8. (as recorded via the 'Someone to Turn to ' pupil survey) - (Snapshot) | Discontinu ed | 8.50% | NC | NC | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | Bad |
| Young | EFL2 | Children with a Child Protection Plan per 10k (Snapshot) | Quarterly | 36 | 34 | 37 | 34 | 37 | 40 | 37 | - | Up is Bad | Neutral |
|) Peop | PHOF06 | Under 18 conceptions (per 1,000 females aged 15-17) (Calendar Year) | Quarterly | 21.59 | 15.71 | - | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | Good |
| e) | 11101 00 | Regional Rank (Rank out of 15) | Annual | 3 | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | | |
| | <u>TOU01</u> | Room Occupancy | Monthly | 80.90% | 74.76% | - | 79.10% | 85.70% | 75.40% | - | - | Up is Good | Neutral |
| | TOU04 | Average Room Rate | Monthly | £73.38 | £69.66 | - | £81.43 | £85.81 | £81.41 | - | - | Neutral | Neutral |
| Tourism | <u>TOU08</u> | Visits to Attractions: Big Attractions | Monthly | 2,975,912 | 2,866,401 | - | 746,921 | 921,844 | 506,037 | - | - | Up is Good | Bad |
| 3 | <u>TOU09</u> | Visits to Attractions: Small Attractions | Monthly | 259,973 | 276,399 | - | 76,033 | 77,086 | 51,845 | - | - | Up is Good | Bad |
| | <u>TOU11</u> | Sessions on visityork.org | Monthly | 1,868,119 | 2,121,529 | - | 379,237 | 404218 | 599,009 | - | - | Up is Good | Good |

| | | | | Pre | evious Ye | ars | | : | 2015/2016 | | | | |
|---------------|-----------|--|-------------------------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|-----------|--------|---------------|---------|
| | | | Collection Frequency | 2013/14 | 2014/15 | 2015/16 | Quarter 1 | Quarter 2 | Quarter 3 | Quarter 4 | Target | Polarity | DoT |
| Tou | TOU14 | Parliament Street Footfall | Monthly | 7,844,253 | 9,616,941 | 8,356,697 | 2,131,369 | 2,361,747 | 2,125,920 | 1,737,661 | - | Up is Good | Neutral |
| Tourism | TOU15 | Visitor Information Centre Footfall | Monthly | 481,019 | 488,643 | - | 107,326 | 144,914 | 103,294 | - | - | Up is Good | Bad |
| Youth | | % of young people ending their YOT supervised court order who are NEET (NEW definition 2016/17 - cumulative) (YTD) | Quarterly | - | 32.4% | 35.6% | - | - | - | - | 33% | Up is Bad | Neutral |
| ıth Offending | <u>45</u> | % of 10-16 year olds ending their YOT supervised court order who are NEET - (NEW defintion 2016/17 - cumulative) (YTD) | Quarterly | - | 11.5% | 0% | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | |
| ding | | % of 16+ year olds ending their YOT supervised court order who are NEET (NEW definition 2016/17 - cumulative) (YTD) | Quarterly | - | 43.8% | 45.7% | - | - | - | - | - | Up is Bad | |